IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 3RD AUGUST 2007 / 12TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 23718 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:

P.K.RAHIMKUNJU, PALAPPALLY THEKKATHIL,
THODIYOOR NORTH P.O., KARUNAGAPPALLY,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.HARILAL
SRI.R.GOPAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE SECRETARY REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, KOLLAM.

2. B.GEETHA, 'SRUTHI', THURUTHIKKARA P.O., KUNNATHOOR.

R1 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

WP(C) NO. 23718 OF 2007 - W

Dated this the 3rd day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner challenges timings granted to the second respondent in respect of a permit granted to the second respondent in the vacancy of another bus. The petitioner has submitted Exts.P4 and P5 representations before the first respondent in this regard. The petitioner seeks a direction to the first respondent to consider and dispose of Exts.P4 and P5.

2. The learned Government Pleader points out that in Exts.P4 and P5, he practically challenges the timings given to the second respondent and therefore, the proper remedy available to the petitioner is to file a revision before the State Transport Appellate Tribunal.

3. The petitioner's contention is that the timings in respect of two trips of the second respondent's permit would clash with the timings of the petitioner. If that be so, the proper remedy available to the petitioner is to file a revision against Ext.P3 timings granted to the second

respondent. Therefore, without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to file a revision before the State Transport Appellate Tribunal, the writ petition is dismissed.

sd/-
S. SIRI JAGAN,
Judge

Mbs/

-True Copy-

P.A.to Judge.

S.SIRI JAGAN, J

W.P.(C) NO. OF 2001

J U D G M E N T

DATED:01-06-2007